

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/468,703	12/21/1999	XI WANG	D/99192	3974
7590 05/13/2005			EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY LLP			HA, LEYNNA A	
8180 GREENB SUITE 800	ORO DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	22102		2135	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X	
X	١

	Annth-At-A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/468,703	WANG, XI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	LEYNNA T. HA	2135
Period for Reply	ours on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence dadress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-29 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration. Can	celled.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/468,703

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 15.
 Applicant added new claims 21-29 and has cancelled claims 16-17.

2. Claims 1, 4-7, 12-15, 19-21, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Claims 2-3, 8-11, 18, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

This is a Final rejection necessitated by new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-7, 12-15, 19-21, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Wright, et al. (US 6,084,969).

Page 3

# As per claim 1:

Wright, Et Al. disclose a public, non-commutative method for encoding an original message to be passed a recipient by way of a grantor, the method comprising the steps of:

obtaining an encrypted message representative of the original message, the encrypted message having been encrypted with a public key corresponding to the grantor according to a public key encryption scheme; [col.7, lines 4-5 and 64-65 and col.10, lines 4-8]

generating a public proxy key based on a private key corresponding to the recipient and on the private key corresponding to said grantor, wherein said grantor's private key and said recipient's private key are combined, and the combination of the private keys is based on said public key encryption scheme and provides that it is computationally difficult to recover the recipient's private key from the public proxy key even with the knowledge of the grantor's private key; and [col.5, lines 2-4]

applying the public proxy key to transform the encrypted message into a transformed message [col.4, line 66 – col.5, line 1], wherein the transformed message is decryptable by the recipient using information selected from the private key corresponding to the recipient and the available public key information. [col.4, lines 65-66 and col.10, lines 3-6]

As per claim 4: See col.14, lines 17-20; discusses the receiving, generating, and applying steps are performed by the grantor.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 5: 'See col.12, lines 55-59; discussing the providing the transformed message to the recipient.

As per claim 6: See col.12, lines 4-7; discusses decrypting the transformed message using information selected from the private key corresponding to the recipient and any available public information.

As per claim 7: See col.12, lines 4-7; discusses decrypting the transformed message using information using the private key corresponding to the recipient.

As per claim 12: See col.11, lines 47-56; discussing the encrypted message comprises a first portion and a second portion, the first portion encoding the original message, a generator, and a random key, and the second portion encoding the public key corresponding to the grantor and the random key.

As per claim13: See col.14, lines 17-20; discussing the applying step operates on the second portion of the encrypted message.

As per claim14: See col.8, lines 37-55; discussing the original message is passed to a recipient through at least one additional intermediate grantor by repeating the generating and applying steps for each additional intermediate grantor.

## As per claim 15:

Wright disclose a public, non-commutative method for encrypting an original message to be passed a recipient by way of a grantor, the method comprising the steps of:

obtaining an encrypted message representative of the original message, the encrypted message having been encrypted with a public key corresponding to the grantor according to a public key encryption scheme; [col.7, lines 4-5 and 64-65 and col.10, lines 4-8]

Page 5

generating a public proxy key based on a public key corresponding to the recipient and on the private key corresponding to the public key of said grantor, wherein said grantor's private key and said recipient's public key are combined, and the combination of said grantor's the private key and said recipient's public key is based on said public key encryption scheme; and [col.5, lines 2-5 and col.7, lines 64-67]

applying the public proxy key to transform the encrypted message, into a transformed message [col.4, line 66 – col.5, line 1], wherein the transformed message is decryptable by the recipient using information selected from the private key corresponding to the recipient's public key and available public key information. [col.9, line 48 – col.10, line 8]

As per claim 16: Cancelled

As per claim 17: Cancelled

As per claim 19: See col.12, lines 4-7; discussing the message is decryptable by the recipient using information selected from the private key corresponding to the recipient.

As per claim 20: See col.8, lines 37-55; discussing the original message is passed to a recipient through at least one additional intermediate grantor by repeating the transforming step for each additional intermediate grantor.

As per claim 21: See col.3, lines 28-33; discussing it is computationally difficult to recover the grantor's private key from the public proxy key.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 24: See col.14, lines 17-20; discussing the receiving, generating, and applying steps are performed by the grantor.

As per claim 25: See col.5, lines 46-48; discussing obtaining said recipient's private key by said grantor.

As per claim 26: as rejected in claim 18.

As per claim 27: See col.3, lines 28-33; discussing it is computationally difficult to recover the grantor's private key from the public proxy key.

As per claim 28: See col.10, lines 4-8; discussing public encryption scheme is a discrete-logarithm-based encryption scheme, wherein said combination of said private keys comprises using the modular difference of both private keys as an exponent in a modular exponentiation.

As per claim 29: See col.5, lines 46-48; discussing obtaining the recipient's private key by the grantor.

Art Unit: 2135

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-3, 8-11, 18, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright, et al. as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Mittra (US 5,748,736).

#### As per claim 2:

Wright discloses a public- private encryption method wherein uses private keys and public keys for encryption and decryption (col.7, lines 59-65). However, Wright fails to include an ElGamal encryption scheme.

Mittra teaches decrypting the encrypted message and then re-encrypting the message along with digitally signing the messages. Mittra discloses that the procedures of digitally signing the messages utilizing the ElGamal scheme wherein is well known in the art for supporting source authentication and sender non-repudiation (col.10, line 62 thru col.11, line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wright is to include the ElGamal encryption scheme because digitally signing the messages supports authentication and sender non-repudiation.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 3: as rejected in claim 2.

As per claim 8: See col.11, lines 47-56; discusses the encrypted message comprises a first portion and a second portion, the first portion encoding a generator and a random key, and the second portion encoding the original message, the public key corresponding to the grantor, and the random key.

As per claim 9: See col.14, lines 17-20; discussing the applying step operates on the second portion of the encrypted message.

As per claim 10: See col.11, lines 47-56; discusses the encrypted message comprises a first portion and a second portion, the first portion encoding the original message, a generator, and a random key, and the second portion encoding the public key corresponding to the grantor and the random key.

As per claim 11: See col.14, lines 17-20; discussing the applying step operates on the second portion of the encrypted message.

As per claim 18: Wright discloses a public- private encryption method wherein uses private keys and public keys for encryption and decryption (col.7, lines 59-65). However, Wright fails to include a Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme.

Although, Wright fails to include the ElGamal or the Cramer-Shoup encryption scheme, it is obvious to use anyone of these encryption schemes for purposes of additional security.

#### As per claim 22:

Wright discloses a public- private encryption method wherein uses private keys and public keys for encryption and decryption (col.7, lines 59-65). However, Wright fails to include an ElGamal encryption scheme.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2135

Mittra teaches decrypting the encrypted message and then re-encrypting the message along with digitally signing the messages. Mittra discloses that the procedures of digitally signing the messages utilizing the ElGamal scheme wherein is well known in the art for supporting source authentication and sender non-repudiation (col.10, line 62 thru col.11, line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wright is to include the ElGamal encryption scheme because digitally signing the messages supports authentication and sender non-repudiation.

As per claim 23: as rejected in claim 22.

#### Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2135

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,703 Page 11

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LHa

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100